

Lecture 4 Sparse Representation based Classification

Lin ZHANG, PhD School of Software Engineering Tongji University Fall 2024



- Motivations
 - Signals are sparse in some selected domain
 - It has strong physiological support





- SR-based face recognition
 - It was proposed in [1]
 - In such a system, the choice of features is no longer crucial
 - It is robust to occlusion and corruption

[1] J. Wright et al., Robust face recognition via sparse representation, IEEE Trans. PAMI, vol. 31, no. 2, 2009



• Illustration

У



If training samples are abundant, \mathbf{y} can be linearly represented by the training samples as

$$\mathbf{y} = \alpha_{1,1}\mathbf{v}_{1,1} + \alpha_{1,2}\mathbf{v}_{1,2} + \alpha_{2,1}\mathbf{v}_{2,1} + \alpha_{2,2}\mathbf{v}_{2,2}$$
$$+ \alpha_{3,1}\mathbf{v}_{3,1} + \alpha_{3,2}\mathbf{v}_{3,2} + \alpha_{4,1}\mathbf{v}_{4,1} + \alpha_{4,2}\mathbf{v}_{4,2}$$

We expect that all the coefficients are zero except $\, lpha_{3,1}, lpha_{3,2} \,$



Problem formulation

We define a matrix **A** for the *n* training samples of all *k* object classes

$$\mathbf{A} = [A_1, A_2, ..., A_k] = [\mathbf{v}_{1,1}, \mathbf{v}_{1,2}, ..., \mathbf{v}_{k,n_k}]$$

Then, the linear representation of a testing sample y can be expressed as $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}$

where $\mathbf{x}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0, ..., 0, \alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, ..., \alpha_{i,n_i}, 0, ..., 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a coefficient vector whose entries are zero except those associated with the *i*th class



This motivates us to seek the most sparsest solution to y = Ax, solving the following optimization problem:

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{0}, s.t., \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_{2} \le \varepsilon$$
(1)

where $\|\cdot\|_0$ denotes the l_0 -norm, which counts the number of non-zero entries in a vector.

However, solving (1) is a NP-hard problem, though some approximation solutions can be found efficiently.

Thus, usually, (1) can be rewritten as a l_1 -norm minimization problem

Sparse representation based approach

If the solution \mathbf{x}_0 is sparse enough, the solution of l_0 minimization problem is equal to the solution to the following l_1 norm minimization problem:

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \left\| \mathbf{x} \right\|_{1}, s.t., \left\| \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \right\|_{2} \le \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

The above minimization problem could be solved in polynomial time by standard linear programming methods.

There is an equivalent form for (1)

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}, \lambda > 0 \quad (2)$$

Several different methods for solving l_1 -norm minimization problem in the literature, such as the l_1 -magic method (refer to the course website)



Algorithm

1. Input: a matrix of training samples

 $\mathbf{A} = [A_1, A_2, ..., A_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ for } k \text{ classes; } \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{, a test sample;}$ and an error tolerance $\mathcal{E} > 0$

- 2. Normalize the columns of A to have unit l_2 -norm
- 3. Solve the l_1 -minimization problem $\mathbf{x}_0 = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_1, s.t., \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2 \le \varepsilon$
- 4. Compute the residuals $r_i(\mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{y} \mathbf{A}\delta_i(\mathbf{x}_0)\|_2$, $i = \{1, ..., k\}$
- 5. Output: identity(\mathbf{y}) = argmin_{*i*} $r_i(\mathbf{y})$

For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\delta_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a new vector whose only non-zero entries are the entries in \mathbf{x} that are associated with class i



• Illustration



A valid test image. Recognition with 12×10 downsampled images as features. The test image y belongs to subject 1. The values of the sparse coefficients recovered are plotted on the right together with the two training examples that correspond to the two largest sparse coefficients.



• Illustration



The residuals $r_i(\mathbf{y})$ of a test image of subject 1 with respect to the projected sparse coefficients $\delta_i(\mathbf{x}_0)$ by l_1 -minimization.



- Summary
 - It provides a novel idea for face recognition
 - By solving the sparse minimization problem, the "position" of the big coefficients can indicate the category of the examined image
 - It is robust to occlusion and partial corruption



- Collaborative representation based classification with regularized least square was proposed in [1]
- Motivation
 - SRC method is based on l₁-minimization; however, l₁-minimization is time consuming. So, is it really necessary to solve the l₁-minimization problem for face recognition?
 - Is it *l*₁-minimization or the collaborative representation that makes SRC work?

[1] L. Zhang et al., Sparse representation or collaborative representation: which helps face recognition? ICCV, 2011



- Key points of CRC_RLS
 - It is the collaborative representation, not the *l*₁-norm minimization that makes the SRC method works well for face recognition
 - Thus, the l_1 -norm regularization can be relaxed to l_2 -norm regularization



SRC method:

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} \quad (1)$$
CRC_RLS:
$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} \quad (2)$$
(strictly convex)

(1) is not easy to solve; can be solved by iteration methods

However, (2) has a closed-form solution

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \left(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} + \lambda E\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y}$$

can be pre-computed

 $(\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}+\lambda E)$ is actually positive definite

Can you work

it out



Algorithm

1. Input: a matrix of training samples

 $\mathbf{A} = [A_1, A_2, ..., A_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ for } k \text{ classes; } \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{, a test sample;}$

- 2. Normalize the columns of A to have unit l_2 -norm
- 3. Pre-compute $\mathbf{P} = \left(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} + \lambda E\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T$
- 4. Code y over A

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{y}$$

- 5. Compute the residuals $r_i(\mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{y} \mathbf{A}\delta_i(\mathbf{x}_0)\|_2$, $i = \{1, ..., k\}$
- 6. Output: identity(\mathbf{y}) = argmin_{*i*} $r_i(\mathbf{y})$

For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\delta_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a new vector whose only non-zero entries are the entries in \mathbf{x} that are associated with class i

Lin ZHANG, SSE, TONGJI Univ.

By solving CRC_RLS,

$$\mathbf{x}_{0} = [-0.10, -0.04, -0.09, 0.16, 0.68, 0.14, 0.06, 0.17]^{T}$$

$$r_{1} = \|\mathbf{v}_{1,1} \times (-0.10) + \mathbf{v}_{1,2} \times (-0.04) - \mathbf{y}\|_{2} = 1.14$$

$$r_{2} = \|\mathbf{v}_{2,1} \times (-0.09) + \mathbf{v}_{2,2} \times (0.16) - \mathbf{y}\|_{2} = 0.93$$

$$r_{3} = \|\mathbf{v}_{3,1} \times (0.68) + \mathbf{v}_{3,2} \times (0.14) - \mathbf{y}\|_{2} = 0.27$$

$$r_{4} = \|\mathbf{v}_{4,1} \times (0.06) + \mathbf{v}_{4,2} \times (0.17) - \mathbf{y}\|_{2} = 0.79$$



• Illustration for CRC_RLS



y



• CRC_RLS vs. SRC



The coding coefficients of a query sample



• CRC_RLS vs. SRC

	Recognition rate	Time
$SRC(l_1_ls)$	0.979	5.3988 s
SRC(ALM)	0.979	0.128 s
SRC(FISTA)	0.914	0.1567 s
SRC(Homotopy)	0.945	0.0279 s
CRC_RLS	0.979	0.0033 s
Speed-up	8.5 ~ 1636 times	

Recognition rate and speed on the Extended Yale B database



